

\*PART A

**Report to:** Community Safety Partnership Task Group  
**Date of meeting:** 24 July 2018  
**Report of:** Committee and Scrutiny Support Officer  
**Title:** Work programme for 2018/19

1.0 **Summary**

1.1 This report asks the Panel to consider the work programme for 2018/19 and agree topics for future meetings.

2.0 **Risks**

2.1

<b>Nature of Risk</b>	<b>Consequence</b>	<b>Suggested Control Measures</b>	<b>Response</b> <i>(Treat, tolerate, terminate, transfer)</i>	<b>Risk Rating</b> (the combination of severity and likelihood)
If no work programme is agreed the panel would not be able to carry out its statutory scrutiny function of the local community safety partnership (CSP) effectively.	Lack of effective scrutiny oversight of the CSP.	Encourage councillors to consider issues for scrutiny.	Treat.	2

### 3.0 **Recommendations**

3.1 To agree a work programme for the task group in 2018/19.

#### **Contact Officer:**

For further information on this report please contact: Alan Garside, Committee and Scrutiny Support Officer

telephone extension: 8376                      email: [alan.garside@watford.gov.uk](mailto:alan.garside@watford.gov.uk)

**Report approved by:** Head of Democracy and Governance

### 3.0 **Detailed proposal**

3.1 The task group's remit is to scrutinise the work of the local community safety partnership. The terms of reference are attached as appendix 1 to this report. There are three scheduled meetings in 2018/19 and the dates are set out in the work programme, attached at appendix 2.

3.2 At every meeting, the task group will receive an update on progress in relation to the annual community safety partnership plan - and with a look forward also at the last meeting in the municipal year. These items have been scheduled into the work programme.

3.3 For the remaining items to be agreed, the panel is asked to identify topics/themes they wish to examine to assist the work of the partnership at a strategic level, and to consider which partners could be invited to provide information where necessary. Following discussions, recommendations can be made to the CSP where appropriate; such as in relation to performance against the relevant partnership priorities.

3.4 The panel will have the opportunity to review the work programme at meetings and to make amendments where appropriate.

### 4.0 **Implications**

#### 4.1 **Financial**

4.1.1 The Head of Finance comments that there are no financial implications.

#### 4.2 **Legal Issues** (Monitoring Officer)

4.2.1 The Head of Democracy and Governance comments that there are no legal implications in this report.

## **Appendices**

Appendix 1 – Outsourced Services Scrutiny Panel terms of reference

Appendix 2 - Draft work programme 2018/19

## **Background Papers**

No papers were used in the preparation of this report.

## **File Reference**

None.